

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

THE APPLICATION OF JACKSON COUNTY)	
RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE)	
CORPORATION, INC. FOR AN ADJUSTMENT)	CASE NO. 94-389
TO ITS RETAIL ELECTRIC POWER TARIFFS)	

O R D E R

IT IS ORDERED that Jackson County Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation, Inc. ("Jackson County") shall file an original and 10 copies of the following information with this Commission, with a copy to all parties of record. Each copy of the information should be placed in a separate volume with each item numbered to correspond to the request included herein. When a number of sheets are required for an item, each sheet should be appropriately indexed, for example, Item 1(a), Sheet 2 of 6. Include with each response the name of the person who will be responsible for responding to questions relating to the information provided. Careful attention should be given to copied material to ensure that it is legible. The information is due no later than February 21, 1995.

1. Refer to the application, page 3. According to paragraph 17, the reduction in rates to Jackson County's customers is \$2,777 less than the reduction in power cost from East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. Is this figure accurate? If so, explain and fully justify this action.

2. Refer to Exhibit D of the application. Provide workpapers which show the derivation of the following amounts:

- a. \$49,913 decrease in Section B rates.
- b. \$144,722 decrease in Section C rates.
- c. \$2,667,061 decrease in Section E rates.
- d. \$444,936 increase in load center rates for Section E consumers.

e. Does any of the load center increase apply to Section B or C consumers? If so, why was the load center increase not prorated among Sections B, C, and E?

3. Refer to Exhibit E of the application. For each size light, provide workpapers which show the derivation of the decrease in the fixed rate. These workpapers should identify the monthly energy (kwh) consumption for each size light and show how the decrease in Section E energy rates (per Exhibit D of the application) translates to a decrease in the fixed lighting rate.

4. In the event Jackson County's wholesale power cost is ultimately reduced by an amount greater than the decrease that went into effect January 1, 1995, should the additional reduction be reflected in retail rates by using the same allocation methodology proposed by Jackson County? If no, explain fully.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 3rd day of February, 1995.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

ATTEST:

Don Mills
Executive Director

C. S. I. [Signature]
For the Commission